

**Tectonic Fabric Map
of the Ocean Basins
From Satellite Altimetry Data**

**By
The POMP Team**

TECTONIC FABRIC MAP OF THE OCEAN BASINS FROM SATELLITE ALTIMETRY DATA

by

L.M. Gahagan, J.Y. Royer, C.R. Scotese¹, D.T. Sandwell, J.K. Winn, R.L. Tomlins,
M.I. Ross, J.S. Newman, R.D. Müller, C.L. Mayes, L.A. Lawver, and C.E. Heubeck

Institute for Geophysics, University of Texas, 8701 Mopac Boulevard, Austin, Texas
78759

¹present address Shell Development Company, Bellaire Research Center, P.O. Box 481,
Houston, Texas 77001

Abstract

Satellite altimetry data provides a new source of information on the bathymetry of the ocean floor. This study used the SEASAT and GEOSAT altimetry data to produce a global map which displays the tectonic fabric of the ocean basins. The data were processed and interpreted as discrete data points. The result is a map which describes the outlines of various tectonic features through a series of lineations corresponding to the gravity anomalies associated with the features. The uniformity of the satellite coverage enables this map to serve as a global database of greater resolution and continuity than currently provided by ship-track data.

This map permits the extension of the physical limits of many tectonic features well beyond what was previously known, particularly in the southern oceans. For instance, various fracture zones, such as the Ascension, Tasman, and Udintsev fracture zones, can be extended much closer to their respective continental margins. The tectonic fabric map reveals many features which are not apparent on global bathymetric charts. These features include extinct ridges, minor fracture zone lineations, and seamounts. In several areas, especially on aseismic plateaus and along continental margins, the map displays broad gravity anomalies whose origin, though unknown, may relate in some way to basement structure. It is obvious that the tectonic fabric displayed by this map has much potential for future, in-depth studies.

Introduction

During the past decade, remote sensing data obtained from orbiting satellites has provided new information about geology at the Earth's surface (LANDSAT) and the structure of the Earth's magnetic (MAGSAT) and gravity fields (SEASAT and GEOSAT). The geoid is an equipotential gravitational surface that is closely approximated by the sea surface. The shape of the geoid and sea surface change in response to gravitational anomalies within the earth. The long-wavelength signals (>1000 km) of the geoid are due to structures deep within the Earth while the short-wavelength signals (~ 200 km or less) are the result of mass excesses and deficits near the surface of the Earth. In areas of excess mass, such as in the vicinity of an oceanic ridge or seamount, there are distinct geoid highs; in areas where there are mass deficits, such as trenches or deep fracture zone valleys, there are corresponding geoid lows. This direct correlation between the short-wavelength features (or high-frequency component) of the geoid and the bathymetry of the ocean floor (Haxby et al., 1983; Sandwell, 1984a) has been successfully used to identify and locate a variety of bathymetric features. Geoid data, as collected by satellite, has yielded information on trenches (McAdoo, 1981), on the location of fracture zones in the Pacific (Sailor and Okal, 1983) and Atlantic (Cande et al., in press) oceans, and on the global dispersement of seamounts (Craig and Sandwell, in press).

In this paper we present a global map (Plate 1), based on measurements of the high-frequency component of the geoid, which illustrates the trends and outlines of tectonic features on the ocean floor. This map displays oceanic fracture zones, active and extinct spreading ridges, seamounts, trenches, and aseismic volcanic edifices, as well as some of the aspects of the structure of deeply buried basement features along rifted continental margins. The lineations on the map which correspond to fracture zones have recorded the movement of the plates through time and thus serve as tectonic 'flowlines' between the plates. These flowlines, together with the other features on the map, reveal how the tectonic history of the ocean basins has been woven into the ocean floor itself, and thus reveal what we refer to as the 'tectonic fabric' of the ocean basins.

Our results, though similar to the work of Haxby (1985, 1987), were obtained by a different technique. Rather than work with gridded, areal averages (Haxby, 1985, 1987), our interpretation is based on a direct analysis of individual measurements. By working with discrete data points, we have been able to increase the resolution of our map which has allowed us to map previously unmapped tectonic features and to resolve the

shape of known tectonic features in greater detail and in a more continuous manner than allowed by ship tracks alone.

In the following sections we outline the methods that we have used to analyze the satellite altimetry data. This includes a brief discussion of the processing of the satellite altimetry data as well as the techniques that were used to produce the maps (Plate 1). In the first part of the discussion section, guidelines are given that enable the reader to identify the distinctive geoid signals that accompany ridges, trenches, fractures zones, etc. In the second part of the discussion section, some of the major tectonic features that we have mapped are described. This section includes a discussion of the correlation between our interpretations and known bathymetric features, as well as a description of some of the previously unmapped, tectonic features that have been revealed by satellite altimetry data. In the conclusion, we discuss how the tectonic fabric map of the ocean basins is being used to produce plate reconstructions which possess a higher resolution than reconstructions using ship-track data alone.

Methods

SEASAT and GEOSAT Missions

In June, 1978, NASA launched the SEASAT satellite to collect data which would provide information on oceanic parameters such as the height of the sea surface, wave height and sea-surface winds (Lame and Born, 1982). While ground-tracking lasers located the satellite in its orbit, the satellite used a radar altimeter to measure the altitude between itself and the sea surface (h) (Figure 1). After instrument, atmospheric and geophysical corrections were made to h , this distance was subtracted from the distance between the satellite and a reference ellipsoid for the Earth (h^*). The difference between h^* and h is the height of the sea surface (h_g) (Figure 1).

During its three months of operation, the SEASAT satellite collected more than four million data points (Sandwell, 1984b). The satellite, possessing a footprint of 2-5 km in diameter, made altimetry measurements 10 times per second. These were averaged into one point per second. The SEASAT satellite obtained several global data sets with a 165 km equatorial spacing of ground tracks and a three-day repeat-orbit set with a 900 km equatorial spacing of ground tracks (Tapley et al., 1982). Data was collected along both ascending orbital tracks (trending southeast to northwest) and descending orbital tracks (trending northeast to southwest).

In order to assure the accuracy of the SEASAT data, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, in conjunction with NASA, formed the SEASAT Altimeter/Precision Orbit Determination Experiment Team. Using correction algorithms (Lorell, 1980; Parke et al., 1980; Hancock et al., 1980) and in situ surface gravity measurements the team was able to verify the accuracy and resolution of the SEASAT measurements (Tapley et al., 1982; Lame and Born, 1982). Corrections were made for instrumentation, orbit, temporal variations and other secular effects. Among its conclusions, the team was able to determine that the altimetry measurements between the satellite and sea surface were accurate to within 10 cm for wave heights of less than 20 m as determined by the satellite (Tapley et al., 1982).

With the untimely demise of SEASAT, plans for a new mission began. On March 12, 1985, the geodesy satellite, or GEOSAT was launched by the U.S. Navy in order to complete SEASAT's mission and obtain a high resolution, global-scale, oceanographic data set. John Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) constructed the satellite and is responsible for its operation (Mitchell et al., 1987). During its 18-month, primary mission, GEOSAT made over 270 million observations along an orbital track of 200 million

kilometers. The orbits repeated approximately every three days and the tracks had an equatorial spacing of 4 km (Jenson and Wooldridge, 1987; McConathy and Kilgus, 1987, Mitchell et al., 1987). On Oct. 1, 1986, GEOSAT began its secondary mission of collecting unclassified oceanographic data along a 17-day repeat orbit with 164 km equatorial-spaced ground tracks. Using an altimeter similar to SEASAT's, GEOSAT measured the height of the sea surface to an accuracy of 3.5 cm for significant waveheights of 2 meters (McConathy and Kilgus, 1987; MacArthur et al., 1987). GEOSAT data can resolve features with wavelengths as small as 32 km as compared to SEASAT's 50 km ability (Marks and Sailor, 1986; Born et al., 1987; Sailor and LeSchack, 1987; Sandwell and McAdoo, 1987). This mission was designed to collect data at approximately the same density and at the same locations as SEASAT (Jenson and Wooldridge, 1987; Born et al., 1987).

As of November, 1986, the Defense Mapping Agency Aerospace Center (DMAAC), the agency responsible for editing and storing the GEOSAT data, had processed one third of the classified data, or 17.2 million data records (Van Hee, 1987). GEOSAT's secondary mission is scheduled to conclude in April, 1989, but due to its high performance, the mission may likely continue into the 1990's (Jensen and Wooldridge, 1987). The GEOSAT data used to produce our map are based on a preliminary analysis of the data in the southern oceans by Sandwell and McAdoo (in press).

Because the SEASAT mission was in operation during the Austral winter, the results from high southerly latitudes ($>60^\circ$) are very poor due to the effects of sea ice. The GEOSAT mission, however, has collected data during the Austral summer. As a result of the increased resolution of the GEOSAT data (~ 3 times as precise as SEASAT), the information from high southerly latitudes is exceptionally good (Sandwell and McAdoo, in press). For this reason, our interpretation of tectonic features between 55° and 72° S are based on GEOSAT altimetry data; all other tectonic features are based on SEASAT data.

Deflection of the Vertical and Signal Filtering

In order to emphasize the subtle variations in the sea surface, the slope of the sea surface (deflection of the vertical) was used to map the tectonic fabric of the ocean floor. The deflection of the vertical signal is the angle, in microradians, between the line connecting the satellite and the sea surface, and the line normal to the sea surface. In essence, it is the first derivative of the sea surface. Taking the first derivative of the altimetry signal, however, tended to increase the short-wavelength noise (Figure 2a).

To eliminate the short-wavelength noise, we convolved a Gaussian-shaped filter ($\exp[-t^2/2\sigma^2]$; $\sigma = 1$ second) with each profile. The satellite has a ground velocity of 6.6 km/sec so this filter removes wavelengths less than 19.8 km (a distance equal to the spacing of three consecutive altimetry measurements). This resulted in a considerably smoother signal with well-defined peaks and troughs (Figure 2b). After the short-wavelength noise was eliminated, the next step was to remove the broad, long-wavelength features of the geoid associated with deep-seated gravity anomalies and thermal convection. To remove the long-wavelength component of the signal, we used the same filter with a half-width of 10 seconds, which eliminated features with wavelengths shorter than 200 km. The filtered profile was then subtracted from the original profile. The resulting band-pass filtered signal (Figure 2c), though similar to the previous one, has a more equal distribution of peaks and troughs. In Figure 3, the band-pass filtered deflection of the vertical profile is plotted along ascending satellite tracks in the Northeast Pacific; the locations of the Mendocino and Pioneer fracture zones can be clearly seen.

Map Preparation

In order to produce the tectonic fabric map (Plate 1), the peaks and troughs of the deflection of the vertical signal were "picked", i.e. represented by discrete symbols (Figure 2d). As illustrated in Figure 2d, circles represent peaks (positive slopes in the sea surface), and triangles represent troughs (negative slopes in the sea surface). The symbols were scaled in proportion to the absolute magnitude of the amplitude of the signal (in microradians). The x's and plus signs represent the location where the signal crosses the zero line (inflection point). These symbols were then connected to form linear features (Figure 4) As illustrated in Figure 4, this technique allowed us to plot data from both the ascending and descending satellite paths simultaneously, without crowding or confusion.

The satellite altimetry picks were generated by converting the amplitudes of the signals from meters/second to microradians. Not every peak and trough were picked, however. A threshold amplitude, representing the minimum amplitude for which a peak or trough would be "picked", was determined by trial and error. The value that we chose for the threshold amplitude (7.5 microradians) is slightly less than the amplitude of very short-wavelength noise as determined by Brammer and Sailor (1980).

The next step in the preparation on the tectonic fabric map was to plot the SEASAT picks on Mercator basemaps at a scale of 1:10,000,000. The picks for the ascending and descending tracks were plotted on separate sheets, and independent interpretations of the

tectonic fabric were made for each sheet. For east-west trending features, the descending deflection of the vertical signal is opposite of the ascending signal. Since the majority of tectonic features in the oceans are east-west trending, we compensated for this by switching the peak and trough symbols for the descending data set so as to obtain a consistent interpretation on both ascending and descending sheets (Gahagan, 1987). Typically, the interpretation of the tectonic fabric would begin with the identification of the major features. The identification of these features provided a framework from which more speculative interpretations could be made. After these preliminary interpretations were made, the interpretations from the ascending and descending sheets were superimposed and a final composite map was made (Plate 1). By independently interpreting the tectonic fabric for the ascending and descending tracks, we could confidently differentiate between actual features and spurious interpretations. In this case, actual features would appear on both the ascending and descending maps, whereas spurious features were less consistent. In all cases, by combining the interpretations from the ascending and descending tracks we were able to draw more consistent and continuous features. The last stage in map interpretation was to compare the interpreted tectonic features with bathymetric data (GEBCO maps) in order to delete obvious errors and misinterpretations. Our prior knowledge of the tectonic history of the various areas made the interpretation of the satellite data much easier than if the project had been attempted without such knowledge.

Discussion

Map Interpretation: Reading the Red and Blue Lines

A variety of tectonic features, including: fracture zones, spreading axes, seamounts, aseismic plateaus and trenches, are illustrated on Plate 1. However, from the map, it is not clear where the exact boundaries of these tectonic features lie. In the following section we provide guidelines for identifying these tectonic features and delineating their boundaries.

We color-coded the lineations we obtained by connecting the picks, using blue for lines through circles and red for lines through triangles. The red and blue lines can be regarded in a variety of ways. Essentially, they represent positive (blue) and negative (red) slopes of the sea surface. Because the slope in the sea surface is directly related to the horizontal component of the gravity field, the blue and red lines are the positive (blue) and

negative (red) horizontal gravity anomalies. As discussed earlier, the gravity anomalies are due primarily to the topography of the sea floor (fracture zones, trenches, etc.) and, as a consequence, the red and blue lines can also be thought of as lines along the maximum slopes of these submarine features. For instance, a blue line indicates an "upslope" direction, and, conversely, a red line means a "downslope" direction. For instance, in the case of a fracture zone, a red line indicates that the satellite crossed from younger crust over to older crust, going from high ground down to low ground, in accordance with the depth/age step.

The tectonic fabric on our map is not only constructed from red and blue lineations but also from red and blue hatchured zones. These zones are the result of broad, uneven or 'rough' gravity surfaces which cause several peaks (or troughs) to occur together instead of one maximum peak (or trough) (Figure 2c-d). The lines forming the hatchuring were drawn through one or more symbols and do not correspond to the number of symbols present. These broad zones of positive (blue) and negative (red) slope occur both over oceanic crust and over continental crust along the continental margins. These hatchured zones may be due to 1) the expression of bathymetric features (e.g. the Rio Grande and Walvis Ridges) or basement structures (e.g. the Colorado and Salado basins), 2) the presence of volcanism associated with hot spots or "leaky transforms," 3) areas of compression and extension along fracture zones, or 4) some deeper unknown cause.

Because the blue and red lines represent slopes (gradients), there is one additional ambiguity. Which way is up? The slope is a vector that has both a magnitude and a direction. To interpret the map, one must "read" the map from south to north. In this manner, a blue line indicates that the slope is increasing from south to north (upslope); conversely a red line indicates that the slope is decreasing from south to north (downslope). A ridge would have a blue line (upslope) along its southern edge, and a red line (downslope) along its northern edge. A trench or valley, would have the opposite pattern, i.e. a red line (downslope) along its southern edge and blue line (upslope) along its northern edge. The meaning of the blue and red lines can be best understood by referring to the colors associated with the continental margins in Plate 1. All south facing continental margins are marked by blue lines (upslope), while all north facing margins are marked by red lines (downslope). It must be remembered that the blue and red lines do not correspond to the tectonic features, themselves, but rather the colored lines indicate the maximum slopes or gradients associated with these features.

The patterns of sea-surface slope associated with major tectonic features are generally straightforward; each tectonic feature has its own pattern. In the following section, we review the patterns in sea surface slope associated with fracture zones, seamounts, ridges, aseismic plateaus, continental margins, and trenches.

Fracture Zones

The long, linear features associated with fracture zones are the most distinctive features on Plate 1. However, these tectonic flowlines, which have recorded the movement of plates through time, do not correspond to the fracture zones on a one-to-one basis. The location of the fracture zone relative to the red and blue lines depends on: 1) the fracture zone's morphology, 2) the spreading rate of the adjacent ridge axis, and 3) the age offset across the fracture zone. In general, fracture zones with small age offsets that were generated along ridges with slow spreading rates are characterized by deep valleys (Figure 5a). These fracture zones are characterized by a positive anomaly and negative anomaly in the slope of the sea-surface (Figure 5a). In these cases, the fracture zone will lie between a pair of red and blue lines (Plate 1). The red line which is always located to the south of the blue line, indicates the downslope portion of the fracture zone valley; the blue line indicates the upslope portion of the fracture zone valley.

At the other end of the spectrum are those fracture zones like the Mendocino Fracture Zone that are characterized by large age offsets and that are associated with fast spreading ridges. Topographically, these fracture zones are characterized by large escarpments (Figure 5b). The sea-surface slope can be positive (Figure 5b) or negative depending on whether the crust is younger to the north of the fracture zone, or older to the north of the fracture zone. Fracture zones with a large age offset, in which the crust is younger to the north of the fracture zone will be marked by a blue line (upslope to north). Fracture zones with large age offsets, in which the crust is older to the north of the fracture zone, will be marked by a red line (downslope to north).

These two end-member cases are based on the depth/age step associated with age differences and cooling of the oceanic crust. This depth/age step may be overprinted by other morphology due to other tectonic processes such as horizontal thermal contraction and crustal warping (Collette, 1986). The gravity anomalies associated with the individual fracture zone morphology may cause additional lines of the color to be present, but in general, these lines will be subdued and less continuous.

Spreading ridges

As mentioned above, the symbol switching and the obliqueness with which many of the ridges intersected the satellite tracks were prohibitive to our recognition of them. As a result, only parts of the Southwest, Central, and Southeast Indian ridges are recognizable on our map. The geoid signature of a ridge, like a fracture zone, depends on the spreading rate at the ridge which gives rise to its morphology. A slow spreading ridge, such as the Southwest Indian Ocean ridge, usually possesses an axial trough which is reflected in the geoid signal. In passing over such a ridge, the satellite first measures an increase in slope, corresponding to a blue lineation on our map, due to the increase in height of the ridge. The maximum downward slope of the axial trough is represented by a red line and the maximum upward slope of the other side of the axial trough is represented by a blue line. As the satellite continues over the other side of the ridge, the maximum downward slope of ridge is represented by a red line. The location of the axial trough is actually between the first red line and the second blue line. This ideal representation is best seen along the Southwest Indian Ridge between the Indomed and Gallieni fracture zones (numbers 24 and 25 in Figure 6).

Seamounts

The seamounts and major island archipelagos are illustrated on the map by small circles and irregular, circular areas (Plate 1). The deflection of the vertical signal for a seamount reflects 1) the positive slope in the sea surface measured by the satellite as it approached the seamount from the southeast and 2) the negative slope in the sea surface measured by the satellite as it continued over the other side of the seamount. Therefore, on our map, the southern half of the seamount is marked by a blue line (positive slope), and the northern half of the seamount is marked by a red line (negative slope).

If the satellite passed directly over the seamount, then the actual location of the seamount is at the inflection point, or zero-crossing, of the signal. The satellite does not, however, need to pass directly over the seamount to register the associated gravity anomaly. As a result, the circles on our map do not represent the exact location of a seamount but merely indicate the presence of a seamount in the area. The size of the circles are based on the size of the amplitude of the signal and do not correspond to the size of the seamount since the amplitude of the signal depends not only on the size of the seamount but also on the degree of compensation and the seamount's distance from the satellite track (Craig and Sandwell, in press). Because of the minimum threshold we set in our

processing to remove short-wavelength noise, the minimum amplitude of a signal which was recognized in our study as a seamount was $7.5 \mu\text{rad}$ or 7.5 milligals of horizontal gravity component.

The similarity of the deflection of the vertical signal over a seamount and across a linear feature, such as a fracture zone, can make recognition of a seamount a difficult task, except in cases where several tracks, crossing over the same seamount, clearly define the seamount's outline. One method used to determine which type of feature a signal represented was to examine the extent of a signal. If the signal for a feature extended over a large ($>100 \text{ km}$) area across several tracks, then the signal was probably due to a more elongate feature instead of a seamount since the signal of an isolated seamount rarely extends more than 100 km (Craig and Sandwell, in press). Another method used to identify seamounts was to visually compare the amplitude of the suspected seamount signal with other signals in the area. If the amplitude of the suspect signal was visually larger, the signal was interpreted as a seamount. Using this criteria, we were able to recognize the major seamounts.

Trenches

Our map depicts the deep ocean trenches, which are located near continental margins and island arcs, as linear features. The height of the sea surface increases in response to the slight upwarping of the subducting plate, resulting in a peak in the deflection of the vertical signal. On our map, this feature is colored blue since it has a positive slope. Depending on the magnitude of upwarping, this feature may be represented on our map as either a single line or a broad linear hatchured zone parallel to the trench. The maximum downward slope of the sea surface over the outer trench is represented by a red line on our map. The inflection point, or zero-crossing, of the deflection of the vertical signal marks the location of the trench axis. Over the inner trench slope, the height of the sea surface increases. The resulting positive slope of the sea surface corresponds to a blue line or broad zone on our map.

Due to the north-south trajectory of the satellite tracks, features that are oriented east-west are more clearly defined than features oriented north-south. This bias is particularly evident with regard to the trenches. Portions of the Peru-Chile trench are not well defined. In contrast, portions of the Mid-American, Aleutian, and Kurile trenches are clearly delineated.

Continental Margins and Aseismic Plateaus

For our purposes, the term continental margin refers to the steepest bathymetric gradient around a continent (the shelf-slope break). An aseismic plateau refers to a submarine feature, other than the mid-ocean ridges, which may be of either continental or oceanic origin. An aseismic plateau experiences little or no seismicity. The map presented in this study reveals information on both the outline and the apparent structure along continental margins and on aseismic plateaus.

As the satellite, travelling northwards, crosses over the continental margin from oceanic crust to continental crust, the height of the sea surface increases. This results in a peak in the deflection of the vertical signal corresponding to the point of maximum positive slope. Going from continental crust to oceanic crust, the height of the sea surface decreases, resulting in a corresponding trough in the deflection of the vertical signal. Therefore, as mentioned above, all south-facing continental margins (e.g., south of Australia) are marked on our map by blue lines (positive slope), while all north-facing margins (e.g., northwest of England) are marked by red lines (negative slope).

The outlines of continental margins and aseismic plateaus are often overprinted, however, by broad zones of blue and red hatching. As mentioned above, these zones may be due to a number of causes. The pattern of these zones along the continental margins suggests that the zones may reveal basement structures that are buried beneath the sedimentary cover.

Identification of Major Tectonic Features on the Fabric Map

The primary goal of this study has been the identification of tectonic features from satellite altimetry data. Figure 6 delineates the particular areas to which each author of this paper contributed. In this section we compare the features that are present on the Tectonic Fabric Map (Plate 1) with known tectonic and bathymetric features. We also discuss how the extent or shape of these features must be modified in light of satellite altimetry data, and describe new tectonic features that were previously unmapped. Figure 7 illustrates the location of the areas and major tectonic features discussed in this section, identified by number. The corresponding numbers will be displayed in brackets ([]) after the feature or area.

Atlantic Ocean

Because the north-south trend of the satellite tracks enhance east-west trending features, the fracture zones in the Atlantic Region are clearly visible (Plate 1). These include the Charlie Gibbs [102], Kurchatov [103], Pico [104], East Azores [105], Oceanographer [106], Hayes [107], Cruiser [108], Atlantis [109], Tyro [110], Kane [111], Jackonsville [118], and Fifteen-Twenty [119] fracture zones in the Central Atlantic, and the Vema [120], Sierra Leone [121], Four North [122], St. Paul [123], Romanche [124], Ascension [125], Bode Verde [126], St. Helene [127], Hotspur [128], Martin Vaz [129], Rio de Janeiro [130], Rio Grande [132], Tristan da Cunha [135], and the Falkland-Agulhas [136] fracture zones in the South Atlantic. In many cases, the trend of the fracture zone can be extended closer to the continental margins (e.g. Charlie Gibbs [102], Azores [105], Atlantis [109], Kane [111], St. Paul [123], Romanche [124], and Ascension [125] fracture zones). The trend of the Romanche and Ascension fracture zones can be traced up onto the continental margins of Africa and South America. In cases where there is a large age offset across a fracture zone, the colors may change across the ridge (e.g. Falkland-Agulhas Fracture Zone [136]). As the satellite crosses from younger to older crust on one side of the ridge, the bathymetry and sea surface decrease in height, corresponding to a red line on the map. As the satellite crosses from older to younger crust on the other side of the ridge, the bathymetry and sea surface increase in height, corresponding to a blue line. This color change on the map can be used to determine the location of the ridge. On the tectonic fabric map in the North Atlantic, numerous, short, unnamed fracture zones parallel the Bight Fracture Zone [101] (Plate 1). In Baffin Bay [97], there are several pairs of northeast-southwest trending lineations that may represent fracture zones.

Except for Reyjkanes Ridge [98], which is delineated by a parallel series of northeast-southwest trending hatchured zones, the spreading ridges in the Atlantic Region are difficult to identify. However, in some cases, the transition across the ridge axis can be seen by a change in the slope of the sea surface, i.e. a change in the color of the lineations from red to blue (e.g. Falkland-Agulhas Fracture Zone). Lineations in the center of the Labrador Sea [100] probably represent a spreading axis that became extinct in the Early Tertiary (Srivastava et al., 1986).

In addition to the steep gradients in the sea surface identified by the red and blue lineations, there are gentle slopes in the sea surface identified by broad zones of blue and red hatchuring. These broad zones are visible in the Barents Sea, on the Reykjanes Ridge [98], and along the continental margins. One of the most dramatic examples of this kind of

pattern occurs along the margin of southeastern Argentina and the Falkland Plateau (Plate 1). In this area the red and blue hatchuring probably represents basement structures that are buried beneath a thin veneer of sediments (e. g. Salado [133] and Colorado [134] basins). These zones of red and blue hatchuring also extend into the ocean basins, where they usually correspond to volcanic edifices and aseismic plateaus (Rio Grande [131] - Walvis Ridge [142], Faeroes Ridge [99]).

The complex pattern of the tectonic fabric in the Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico [112] region is due to a variety of tectonic features. The lineations which are parallel to the margins of the Gulf of Mexico represent stretched continental crust, as well as a narrow zone of oceanic crust that lies in the center of the Gulf (Buffler and Sawyer, 1983). The Cayman Trough [113] and Hess Escarpment [114] are well-defined by sets of parallel lineations. The Muertos Trough [115], south of Hispaniola, and the Puerto Rico Trench [116] are outlined by broad swells in the slope of the sea surface (Plate 1).

Indian Ocean

Unlike the tectonic fabric of the Atlantic, which is dominated by fracture zone trends, the tectonic fabric of the Indian Ocean reveals a variety of tectonic features. These features include: young and old fracture zones, active and extinct spreading centers, volcanic edifices, aseismic plateaus, rifted continental margins and trenches.

The fracture zone lineations in the Indian Ocean are generally more difficult to identify due to their primarily north-south orientation. However, distinct fracture zone trends can be recognized in the vicinity of slow spreading ridges. Along the Central Indian Ridge, the Owen [1], Mabahiss [3], Vema [9], Argo [10], and Marie Celeste [11] fracture zones can be identified. Along the Southwest Indian Ridge, the Melville [27], Atlantis II [26], Gallieni [25], Indomed [24], Discovery [23], Prince Edward [21], Bain [22], and Dutoit [17] fracture zones are recognizable. The L'astrolabe Fracture Zone [30] to the south of the Southwest Indian Ridge is also visible. Although located in a faster spreading regime, several fracture zones along the Southeast Indian Ridge can be identified: the Amsterdam 35], St. Paul [36], Mitra [37], Varuna [38], Soma [40] and Surya [41] fracture zones (McKenzie and Sclater, 1971). Using satellite altimetry data, the trends of several fracture zones can be extended closer to the continental margins (e.g. George V [43], Tasman [44], and Balleny [45] fracture zones). Older fracture zones, such as the Davie Ridge [13] in the Somali Basin [6], and the Mauritius and Mahanoro fracture zones [8] south of the Mascarene Basin [14] are visible on the tectonic fabric map (Plate 1). The

bends in the red and blue lineations corresponding to the Astrid [18] and Investigator [12] fracture zones reveal major changes in spreading direction.

Portions of the Central, Southwest and Southeast Indian ridges, due to their east-west orientation, can be identified on the tectonic fabric map. The most easily identified ridge segments are located along the southeast portion of the Carlsberg Ridge [2], along the Southeast Indian Ridge south of the Agulhas Basin, between the Indomed Fracture Zone [24] and Central Indian Triple Junction, and along the Australian-Antarctic Discordance [42]. The extinct spreading center in the Mascarene Basin [14] and south of Réunion Island is outlined by two pairs of northwest-southeast trending red and blue lineations (Schlich, 1982).

As in the Atlantic region, the blue and red hatchured zones represent broad, gently sloping areas of the sea surface. In the Indian Ocean, the most prominent of these features correspond to the Kerguelen [34] and Broken Ridge [39] plateaus (Plate 1). Using the satellite altimetry data, the extent of the Kerguelen Plateau has been mapped in detail, and a variety of associated features, such as the Labuan Basin and Elan Bank, have been identified (Coffin et al., 1986). Other features, such as the Del Caño Rise [28], Crozet Bank [29] and the Conrad Rise [31], are clearly visible on the fabric map, and new seamounts have been identified northeast of Marion Dufresne Seamount [32]. Though the Ninetyeast Ridge and Chagos-Laccadive Ridge are major tectonic features, they do not appear on our tectonic fabric map because of their orientation.

The continental margins of Antarctica (Wilkes Land [47]) and southern Australia display hatchured zones which may correspond to broad areas of stretched continental or transitional crust or marginal sedimentary basins. Similar hatchured areas along the eastern margin of India and south of Pakistan may be related to the progradation of the Ganges and Indus fans. Of particular interest is the set of east-west trending hatchured zones in the Central Indian Basin [4]. These features represent the buckling and folding of oceanic crust related to the ongoing collision of India and Eurasia (Weissel et al., 1980; McAdoo and Sandwell, 1985).

The Java - Sumatra [5] trenches is illustrated on the tectonic fabric map by a series of arcuate lineations. Similar lineations are observed along the Seychelle Islands south of the Amirante Trench [7].

Pacific Ocean

The tectonic fabric of the western and central Pacific is dominated by irregular or circular features representing seamounts. Several subparallel seamount trends can be recognized. The most prominent seamount trends are associated with the Hawaiian [62]-Emperor [57] archipelago, the Line Islands [66], the Austral Islands, Easter Island, and the Louisville Ridge [85] (Craig and Sandwell, in press).

In contrast, the most prominent tectonic fabric in the eastern Pacific basin are the satellite altimetry lineations associated with fracture zones. In the Northeast Pacific, tectonic lineations associated with the Mendocino [59], Pioneer [60], Murray [61], Molokai [63], Clarion [64], Rivera [65], Clipperton [67], Tehuantepec [68], and Siquieros [69] fracture zones are clearly visible. Our interpretation of the satellite altimetry data suggests that the Mendocino Fracture Zone [59] can be extended west of the Emperor Islands [57], the Murray [61] and Molokai [63] fracture zones can be extended to the Hawaiian Islands [62], and the Clipperton Fracture Zone [67] may extend west of the Line Islands [66] (Plate 1). In the Southeast and Southwest Pacific, the Galapagos [71], Marquesas [73], Quebrada [72], Mendana [75], Chile [78], Valdivia [80], Guafo [80], Austral [76], Agassiz [77], Menard [87], Heezen [91], Tharp [90], Udintsev [89], and Hero [92] fracture zones can be identified on the tectonic fabric map (Plate 1). The Marquesas [73], Austral [76], and Guafo [80] fracture zones, in particular, can be mapped in greater detail than is available from bathymetric maps. In the Southwest Pacific, numerous fracture zone lineations have been identified that lie parallel to the major fracture zones (Heezen [91], Tharp [90], and Udintsev [89] fracture zones). In most cases, the trends of these fracture zones can be extended nearly to the margin of Antarctica.

Due to its low relief and predominantly north-south orientation, the East Pacific Rise [74] is not visible on the tectonic fabric map. Similarly, the Juan de Fuca Ridge [58], Chile Rise [79] and the Pacific-Antarctic Ridge [88] can not be mapped directly. The locations of these spreading centers, however, often can be inferred by noting the change in the slope of the sea surface along fracture zones near the ridge axis. The ridge can be located by observing where the lineation changes from red to blue (e.g. along Udintsev Fracture Zone [89] at 55° S, 145° W).

Another rift-related feature, the Henry Trough [86] (45° S, 135° W), is clearly visible on the tectonic fabric map (Plate 1). The L-shape bend south of the Menard Fracture Zone [87] marks the location where a propagating ridge rifted older oceanic crust

(Cande et al., 1982). The satellite-derived lineations are well-defined in this region due to the contrasting ages of the oceanic crust (60 Ma vs. 47 Ma).

As expected, the trenches are best expressed where the satellite tracks cross the trench at a high angle (Aleutian [50], Kurile [51], South Solomon [53], Vitiaz [54], New Hebrides [55], and Mid-American [70] trenches). The expression of the trench is more subdued where the satellite tracks run subparallel to the trench axis (Philippine [52], Tonga-Kermadec [56], Peru-Chile [82] trenches). The trench axis is marked by a single, red line. Along some trenches (Aleutian [50], Kurile [51], and New Hebrides [55]), a broad positive anomaly in the slope of the sea surface is present seaward of the trench (blue hatchuring). This anomaly is probably due to the bending and upwarping of the subducting oceanic lithosphere.

Other broad areas of hatchuring, indicating gentle slopes of the sea surface, are present in the Pacific. The hatchuring over the Campbell Plateau [83] and Chatham Rise [84] (New Zealand) is similar to the tectonic fabric over the Falkland Plateau, and probably represents basement structures associated with stretched continental or transitional crust. Similar features are observed in the Bering basin [49] and along the Bering shelf margin.

Circum-Antarctic Region

As discussed in the introduction, because the SEASAT mission was in operation during the Austral winter, the results from high southerly latitudes ($>60^\circ$) were degraded by the effects of sea ice. The GEOSAT mission, however, collected data during the Austral summer; as a result, the information from high southerly latitudes is exceptionally good. Numerous new tectonic features can be identified using the GEOSAT data (Sandwell and McAdoo, in press). These features provide important constraints for the development of the Antarctic margin, and the evolution of the southern oceans (Lawver et al., in press).

In the South Atlantic, the distinct fracture zone pattern surrounding the Bouvet triple junction is clearly visible (Plate 1). Of particular interest is the fracture zone pattern associated with the American-Antarctic Ridge (Barker and Lawver, in press). The northwest-southeast trend of this fabric extends southward into the Weddell Sea [141], and ultimately to the continental margin of Antarctica.

East of the Weddell Sea, the tectonic fabric changes abruptly. A new set of northeast-southwest trending fracture zones intersects the Weddell Sea fracture zone lineaments. The most continuous lineament, the Astrid Fracture Zone [20], can be traced northward from the Astrid Ridge [18], across the Southwest Indian Ridge and towards the

Mozambique Escarpment. This lineament is a flow-line that records the relative movement of Africa with respect to Antarctica since the Late Jurassic (Bergh, 1987).

Another lineament is observed east of the Gunnerus Ridge [19], to the southwest of the Kerguelen Plateau [34]. This lineament, the Kerguelen Fracture Zone [33], is the flow-line that records the relative movement of India with respect to Antarctica since the Early Cretaceous (Royer et al., in prep). It forms the western boundary of the Kerguelen Plateau [34]. The conjugate portion of the Kerguelen Fracture Zone [33] on the Indian plate is difficult to identify, however, the matching fracture zone probably lies to the west of the Ninety-East Ridge.

A third set of lineaments have been mapped between Australia and Antarctica. The most prominent fracture zone lineations in this set (George V [43], Tasman [44], and Balleny [45] fracture zones) run from southeast Australia to George V Land [48], Antarctica. These fracture zone lineations are flow-lines that record the relative movement of Australia and Antarctica since the Late Cretaceous (Weissel and Hayes, 1972; Cande and Mutter, 1982). The broad zones of blue and red hatchuring along the coast of George V Land [48] and the south coast of Australia probably represent stretched continental or transitional crust formed during the earliest phases of rifting.

East of the Balleny Fracture Zone [45], the Macquarie Ridge [46] can be easily identified by a set of arcuate lineations. Further to the east, in the southwest Pacific Basin, a dense northwest-southeast trending fracture zone fabric has been mapped. These fracture zone trends are parallel to the Udintsev [89], Tharp [90] and Heezen [91] fracture zones, and extend the known limit of these fracture zones to higher latitudes. The southward extension of the Udintsev Fracture Zone [90] intersects the margin of western Antarctica at Pine Island Bay, between Thurston Island and Marie Byrdland (Lawver et al., in press; Sandwell and McAdoo, in press).

In the Scotia Sea, the Shackleton [93], Quest [95] and Endurance [96] fracture zones have been identified. Though the present-day spreading center is not recognized, the West Scotia Ridge [94], an extinct, northeast-southwest trending ridge can be seen on the fabric map (Plate 1) (Barker and Burrell, 1977).

Summary and Conclusions

Although most of the tectonic features cited above have been previously known or suspicioned from ship-track data, the satellite altimetry data enhances our knowledge of them. The tectonic fabric map provides a greater resolution of the outline of many of these features while also permitting the extension of the physical limits of certain features beyond what bathymetric charts show. This new information will no doubt play a part in various aspects of plate tectonic modelling. In particular, the detail and extension of fracture zone lineations, which have recorded plate movement through time, may serve as additional constraints for plate reconstruction.

Besides providing more detail on known features, the tectonic fabric map also displays features which are not apparent on global bathymetric maps (GEBCO). The satellite data reveal the presence of numerous seamounts in the north and central Pacific and the distinct lack of seamounts in the southern oceans (Craig and Sandwell, in press). As mentioned above, the extinct spreading center in the Mascarene Basin and portions of the Southeast Indian ridge at the Australian-Antarctic Discordance are visible on the map.

Due to the lack of ship-track data in the southern oceans, the satellite data reveal several pieces of information on fracture zone lineations and trends which are not seen on bathymetric charts. For instance, in between the major fracture zone lineations in the southwest Pacific Ocean are many smaller lineations which may correspond to minor uncharted fracture zones. In the Weddell Sea, the trend of the lineations on the tectonic fabric map reveal the spreading history of the area.

The tectonic fabric map also displays features which are the result of the expression of deep-seated gravity anomalies. These broad geoid anomalies partially define several aseismic plateaus such as the Kerguelen, Broken Ridge, and Campbell plateaus. The anomalies are visible over the continental crust of the Falkland Plateau as well as over the oceanic crust slightly to the north of the plateau. In the Central Indian Ocean, these gravity anomalies appear as wrinkles on the tectonic fabric map (Weissel et al., 1980; Haxby, 1985, 1987; McAdoo and Sandwell, 1985).

From our analysis of SEASAT and GEOSAT satellite altimetry data we have been able to map the tectonic fabric of the ocean basins and continental margins. Using the slope of the sea surface (deflection of the vertical) we have identified: fracture zones, spreading ridges, subducting trenches, seamounts, aseismic plateaus, and deeply buried features along the continental margins. The global uniformity of the spacing of the satellite data allows us to map known features in greater detail and in a more continuous manner,

particularly in the southern oceans. The data has given us proof of the continuity of tectonic features that were previously evidenced by irregularly spaced ship-track data or magnetic anomaly offsets, as in the case of the southwestern extent of the L'astrolabe Fracture Zone. In addition to being able to identify known tectonic features, we have also identified numerous, new tectonic elements. However, we have just barely scratched the surface, and there is no doubt, that in the future, a wealth of additional information will be revealed by detailed studies of satellite altimetry data. The tectonic fabric map that we present in this paper is a starting point. The tectonic features that we have mapped will be used to: 1) produce more accurate plate tectonic reconstructions, 2) identify epochs of global plate reorganization, and 3) understand the subtle dynamics of the plate tectonic process.

The accuracy of plate tectonic reconstructions depends on the amount of data that "constrains" the fit. The tectonic fabric we have mapped provides numerous additional control points and constraints for high resolution plate tectonic reconstructions. We believe that the fabric itself, has a story to tell. The tectonic fabric records the subtle changes in spreading direction through time. The "bumps and undulations" in the fabric flowlines reflect changes in plate motion. In future studies we hope to correlate "bumps and undulations" between ocean basins, in order to determine if these changes in plate motion were globally synchronous. Finally, as more satellite altimetry data become available, we hope to produce higher resolution tectonic fabric maps. These maps will be an essential tool for understanding the pattern of plate tectonic processes in time and space. From these maps, we may be able to answer some of the following questions. "How often do ridge jumps occur?", "How do ridge segments change through time?" "Do oceanic fracture zones extend onto the continental margins?" "Are plate tectonic processes continuous or episodic?"

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funding from Amoco International Oil Co., Chevron Overseas Petroleum Co., Conoco, Elf Aquitaine, Exxon Production Research, Mobil Oil Co., PetroCanada, Phillips Petroleum, Shell Development Co., and Standard Oil Co., as part of their sponsorship of the Paleoceanographic Mapping Project, University of Texas at Austin. This work was also partially supported by the NASA Geodynamics Program (NAG5-787).

REFERENCES

Barker, P.F. and Burrell, J., 1977. The opening of Drake Passage. *Marine Geology*, 25: 15-34.

Barker, P.F. and Lawver, L.A., in press.

Born, G.H., Mitchell, J.L. and Heyler, G.A., 1987. Design of the GEOSAT Exact Repeat Mission. *John Hopkins APL Technical Digest*, 8: 260-266.

Brammer, R.F. and Sailor, R.V., 1980. Preliminary estimates of the resolution capability of the SEASAT radar altimeter. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 7: 193-196.

Buffler, R.T. and Sawyer, D.S., 1983. Distribution of crust and early history, Gulf of Mexico basin. *Gulf Coast Assoc. Geol. Soc. Trans.*, 35: 334-344.

Cande, S.C., Herron, E.M. and Hall, B.R., 1982. The early Cenozoic tectonic history of the southeast Pacific. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 57: 63-74.

Cande, S.C. and Mutter, J.C., 1982. A revised identification of the oldest sea-floor spreading anomalies between Australia and Antarctica. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 58: 151-160.

Cande, S.C., LaBrecque, J.L. and Haxby, W.B., in press. Plate kinematics of the South Atlantic: chron 34 to present. *J. Geophys. Res.*

Coffin, M.F., Davies, H.L. and Haxby, W.F., 1986. Structure of the Kerguelen plateau province from SEASAT altimetry and seismic reflection data. *Nature*, 324: 134-136.

Collette, B.J., 1986. Fracture zones in the North Atlantic: morphology and a model. *J. Geol. Soc. London*, 143: 763-774.

Craig, C.H. and Sandwell, D.T., in press. The global distribution of seamounts from SEASAT profiles. *J. Geophys. Res.*

Haxby, W.F., Karner, G.D., LaBrecque, J.L. and Weissel, J.K., 1983. Digital images of combined oceanic and continental data sets and their use in tectonic studies. *EOS*, 64: 995-1004.

Haxby, W.F., 1985. Gravity Field of the World's Oceans. Lamont-Doherty Geol. Obs., Palisades, New York.

Haxby, W.F., 1987. Gravity field of the world's oceans. NOAA Report MGG-3.

Hancock, D.W., Forsythe, R.G. and Lorell, J., 1980. SEASAT altimeter sensor file algorithms. *IEEE Oceanic Eng.*, OE 5(2), pp. 93-99.

Jensen, J.J. and Woolridge, F.R., 1987. The Navy GEOSAT mission: an introduction. *John Hopkins APL Technical Digest*, 8: 169.

Lame, D.B. and Born, G.H., 1982. SEASAT measurement system evaluation: achievements and limitations. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87: 3175-3178.

Lawver, L.A., Royer, J.Y., Sandwell, D.T. and Scotese, C.R., in press. Evolution of the Antarctic continental margins. Fifth International Antarctic Earth Sciences Symposium, Cambridge, UK.

Lorell, J., 1980. Algorithm development facility altimeter sensor algorithm specifications. JPL Intern. Rep. 622-2071, revision a, Jet Propul. Lab., Pasadena, Calif.

MacArthur, J.L., Marth, Jr., P.C. and Wall, J.G., 1987. The GEOSAT Radar Altimeter. *John Hopkins APL Technical Digest*, 8: 176-181.

Marks, K.M. and Sailor, R.V., 1986. Comparison of GEOS-3 and SEASAT altimeter resolution capabilities. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13: 697-700.

McAdoo, D.C., 1981. Geoid anomalies in the vicinity of subduction zones. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 86: 6073-6090.

McAdoo, D.C. and Sandwell, D.T., 1985. Folding of Oceanic Lithosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90: 8563-8569.

McConathy, D.R. and Kilgus, C.C., 1987. The Navy GEOSAT mission: an overview. *John Hopkins APL Technical Digest*, 8: 170-175.

McKenzie, D. and Sclater, J.G., 1971. The evolution of the Indian Ocean since the Late Cretaceous. *Geophys. J. Roy. Astr. Soc.*, 25: 437-528.

Mitchell, J.L., Hallock, Z.R. and Thompson, J.D., 1987. REX and GEOSAT: progress in the first year. *John Hopkins APL Technical Digest*, 8: 234-244.

Parke, M.E., Born, G.H. and Scott, J.F., 1980. SEASAT altimeter geophysical algorithm specifications. *JPL Intern Rep. 622-226*, Jep Propul. Lab., Pasadena, Calif.

Royer, J.Y., Lawver, L.A. and Sandwell, D.T., in prep. Early evolution of the Indian Ocean: new constraints from GEOSAT altimetry data.

Sailor, R.V. and LeSchack, A.R., 1987. Preliminary Determination of the GEOSAT radar altimeter noise spectrum. *John Hopkins APL Technical Digest*, 8: 182-183.

Sailor, R.V. and Okal, E.A., 1983. Applications of SEASAT altimeter data in seismotectonic studies of the South-Central Pacific. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88: 1572-1580.

Sandwell, D.T., 1984a. A detailed view of the South Pacific geoid from satellite altimetry. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89: 1089-1104.

Sandwell, D.T., 1984b. Along-track deflection of the vertical from SEASAT: GEBCO overlays. *NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NGS-40*.

Sandwell, D.T. and McAdoo, D.C., in press. Marine gravity of the Southern Ocean and Antarctic margin from GEOSAT. *J. Geophys. Res.*

Srivastava, S.P. and Tapscott, C.R., 1986. Plate kinematics of the North Atlantic. In P.R. Vogt and B.E. Tucholke (Editors), The western North Atlantic region. GSA DNAG Series Vol. M, 379-404.

Tapley, B.D., Born, G.H. and Parke, M.E., 1982. The SEASAT altimeter data and its accuracy assessment. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87: 3179-3188.

Van Hee, D.H., 1987. Preliminary results from the processing of a limited set of GEOSAT radar altimeter data. *John Hopkins APL Technical Digest*, 8: 201-205.

Weissel, J.K., Anderson, R.N. and Geller, C.A., 1980. Deformation of the Indo-Australian plate. *Nature*, 287: 284-291.

Weissel, J.K. and Hayes, D.E., 1972. Magnetic anomalies in the southeast Indian Ocean. In D.E. Hayes (Editor), *Antarctic Oceanology II: the Australian - New Zealand Sector*. *Antarct. Res. Ser.*, 19: 165-196.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the SEASAT satellite in orbit (from Tapley et al., 1982). The satellite measured the distance (h) between itself and the sea surface using a radar altimeter. Ground-tracking lasers located the satellite in its orbit, permitting the calculation of the distance (h^*) between the satellite and a reference ellipsoid around the Earth. Correction for various factors (instrument and atmosphere) were made to the data. The height of the sea surface is equal to the difference between h^* and h .

Figure 2. The processing steps performed on the data. (a) An unfiltered deflection of the vertical profile. (b) A low-pass Gaussian filter removed wavelengths less than 19.8 km to decrease the short wavelength noise. (c) A high-pass Gaussian filter removed wavelengths greater than approx. 200 km. (d) The positions of the peaks, troughs and zero crossings (inflection points) were recorded and symbolically represented by circles, triangles and crosses, respectively, which were scaled according to the amplitude of the signal. Only those peaks and troughs with amplitudes greater than the 7.5 microradians threshold were retained.

Figure 3. The ascending, filtered deflection of the vertical profiles are plotted along the satellite tracks in the North Pacific. MFZ = Mendocino Fracture Zone; PFZ = Pioneer Fracture Zone (after Sandwell, 1984b).

Figure 4. A composite of the 'picks' (see Figure 2d for explanation) along the ascending and descending satellite tracks in the South Atlantic demonstrates how lineations for the tectonic fabric map were obtained by connecting like symbols. The ridge between the African and South American plates is from the Paleoceanographic Mapping Project (POMP) tectonic data compilation.

Figure 5. Within a particular spreading regime, fracture zones are characterized, to an extent, by particular bathymetric expressions which are reflected by the geoid. If the spreading rate and age offset along a fracture zone and the fracture zone's morphology are known, the deflection of the vertical profile can be used to locate the fracture zone. (a) A fracture zone associated with a slow spreading rate and a small age offset is characterized by a large trench and, as a result, is located at the inflection point of the deflection of the

vertical profile. (b) A fracture zone associated with a fast spreading rate and a large age offset is characterized by a large escarpment and, as a result, is located at the peak of the deflection of the vertical profile (as the satellite travels across older to younger crust).

Figure 6. This figure shows the areas that each of the authors worked on. LMG = L.M. Gahagan; CRS = C.R. Scotese; JYR = J.Y. Royer; JKW = J.K. Winn; RLT = R.L. Tomlins; MIR = M.I. Ross; JSN = J.S. Newman; RDM = R.D. Müller; CLM = C.L. Mayes; LAL = L.A. Lawver; CEH = C.E. Heubeck. D.T. Sandwell and L.M. Gahagan did the processing.

Figure 7. Shown here are areas mentioned in the text as well as features that are visible on the tectonic fabric map.

Indian Ocean: 1) Owen Fracture Zone (FZ); 2) Carlsberg Ridge; 3) Mabahiss FZ; 4) Central Indian Basin; 5) Java-Sumatra Trench (TR); 6) Somali Basin; 7) Amirante TR; 8) Mahanoro and Mauritius FZ; 9) Vema FZ; 10) Argo FZ; 11) Marie Celeste FZ; 12) Investigator FZ; 13) Davie Ridge; 14) Mascarene Basin; 15) Bouvet, Moshesh, and Isla Orcadas FZ; 16) Shaka and Dingaan FZ; 17) Dutoit FZ; 18) Astrid Ridge; 19) Gunnerus Ridge; 20) Astrid FZ; 21) Prince Edward FZ; 22) Bain FZ; 23) Discovery FZ; 24) Indomed FZ; 25) Gallieni FZ; 26) Atlantis II FZ; 27) Melville FZ; 28) Del Caño Rise; 29) Crozet Bank; 30) L'astrolabe FZ; 31) Conrad Rise; 32) Marie Dufresne Seamount; 33) Kerguelen FZ; 34) Kerguelen Plateau; 35) Amsterdam FZ; 36) St. Paul FZ; 37) Mitra FZ; 38) Varuna Fz; 39) Broken Ridge Plateau; 40) Soma FZ; 41) Surya FZ; 42) Australian-Antarctic Discordance; 43) George V FZ; 44) Tasman FZ; 45) Balleny FZ; 46) Macquarie Ridge; 47) Wilkes Land; 48) George V Land.

Pacific Ocean: 49) Bering Sea; 50) Aleutian TR; 51) Kuril TR; 52) Philippine TR; 53) South Solomon TR; 54) Vitiaz TR; 55) New Hebrides TR; 56) Tonga-Kermadec TR; 57) Emperor Seamounts; 58) Juan de Fuca Ridge; 59) Mendocino FZ; 60) Pioneer FZ; 61) Murray FZ; 62) Hawaiian Islands; 63) Molokai FZ; 64) Clarion FZ; 65) Rivera FZ; 66) Line Islands; 67) Clipperton FZ; 68) Tehuantepec FZ; 69) Siquieros FZ; 70) Mid-American TR; 71) Galapagos FZ; 72) Quebrado FZ; 73) Marquesas FZ; 74) East Pacific Rise; 75) Mendana FZ; 76) Austral FZ; 77) Agassiz FZ; 78) Chile FZ; 79) Chile Rise; 80) Valdivia FZ; Guafo FZ; 82) Peru-Chile TR; 83) Cambell Plateau; 84) Chatham Rise; 85) Louisville Ridge; 86) Henry Trough; 87) Menard FZ; 88) Pacific-Antarctic Ridge; 89) Udintsev FZ;

90) Tharp FZ; 91) Heezen FZ; 92) Hero FZ; 93) Shackleton FZ; 94) West Scotia Ridge; 95) Quest FZ; 96) Endurance FZ.

Atlantic Ocean: 97) Baffin Bay; 98) Reykjanes Ridge; 99) Faeros Ridge; 100) Labrador Sea; 101) Bight FZ; 102) Charlie Gibbs FZ; 103) Kurchatov FZ; 104) Pico FZ; 105) East Azores FZ; 106) Oceanographer FZ; 107) Hayes FZ; 108) Cruiser FZ; 109) Atlantis FZ; 110) Tyro FZ; 111) Kane FZ; 112) Gulf of Mexico; 113) Cayman Trough; 114) Hess Escarpment; 115) Muertos Trough; 116) Puerto Rico TR; 117) Atlantic Ridge; 118) Jacksonville FZ; 119) Fifteen-Twenty FZ; 120) Vema FZ; 121) Sierra Leone FZ; 122) Four North FZ; 123) St. Paul FZ; 124) Romanche FZ; 125) Ascension FZ; 126) Bode Verde FZ; 127) St. Helene; 128) Hotspur FZ; 129) Martin Vaz FZ; 130) Rio de Janeiro FZ; 131) Rio Grande Ridge; 132) Rio Grande FZ; 133) Salado Basin; 134) Colorado Basin; 135) Tristan da Cunha FZ; 136) Falkland -Agulhas FZ; 137) South Sandwich TR; 138) Conrad FZ; 139) Bullard FZ; 140) South Sandwich FZ; 141) Weddell Sea; 142) Walvis Ridge.

Plate 1. This global map displays the tectonic fabric of the ocean basins as obtained from satellite (SEASAT and GEOSAT) altimetry data. The map should be 'read' from south to north. The blue lineations represent positive gravity gradients (or slopes in the sea surface), and the red lineations represent negative gravity gradients (or slopes in the sea surface). For instance, crossing a blue lineation is equivalent to going 'upslope' and crossing a red lineation is equivalent to going 'downslope.' Although the tectonic fabric map is dominated by linear flowlines corresponding mostly to fracture zones, other tectonic features, such as ridges and aseismic plateaus, are visible as well (see text for further description).




Figure 1.




Figure 2.




Figure 3.




Figure 4.




Figure 5.

